arXiv:1107.1386v1 [math.GN] 7 Jul 2011

FUNCTOR OF CONTINUATION IN HILBERT CUBE AND HILBERT SPACE

PIOTR NIEMIEC

Abstract. A Z-set in a metric space X is a closed subset K of X such that each map of the Hilbert cube Q into X can uniformly be approximated by maps of Q into $X \setminus K$. The aim of the paper is to show that there exists a functor of extension of maps between Z-sets of Q [or l_2] to maps acting on the whole space Q [resp. l_2]. Special properties of the functor (see the points (a)–(i), page 1) are proved.

2000 MSC: 18B30, 54C20, 57N20, 54B10, 46A04.
Key words: Z-set, functor of extension, Hilbert cube, Fréchet space.

Anderson [1] has proved that every homeomorphism between two Z-sets of the Hilbert cube Q or two Z-sets of the countable infinite product $\mathbb{R}^\omega$ of real lines can be extended to a homeomorphism of the whole space onto itself. His definition of a Z-set has been formulated in terms of the homotopy language. Later Toruńczyk [9] has put a more comfortable, adopted by us (see Abstract), definition of a Z-set in such a way that both the definitions, Anderson's and Toruńczyk's, are equivalent in ANR's.

The above mentioned Anderson's theorem on extending homeomorphisms between Z-sets of Q or $\mathbb{R}^\omega$ has been generalized ([2], [4]) and settled in any manifold modelled on an infinite dimensional Fréchet space [4] (which is, in fact, homeomorphic to a Hilbert space, see [10, 11]). For more information on Z-sets see e.g. [5, Chapter V].

The aim of the paper is to strenghten the homeomorphism extension theorem of Anderson in the following way: Let Ω be the space homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q or to l^2. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the family (category) of all maps between Z-sets of Ω consisting of all pairs (φ, L), where $\mathrm{dom}(\varphi)$ and L are Z-sets in Ω and φ is an L-valued continuous function, and let $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \Omega)$ denote the space of all continuous functions of Ω to Ω. We shall show that there exists an assignment $\mathcal{Z} \ni (\varphi, L) \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}_L \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \Omega)$ such that whenever $(\varphi, L) \in \mathcal{Z}$, then (below, 'dom', 'im' and '$\overline{\mathrm{im}}$' denote the domain, the image and the closure of the image of a map):

(a) $\widehat{\mathrm{id}}_L = \mathrm{id}_\Omega$ and $\widehat{\psi \circ \varphi}_M = \widehat{\psi}_M \circ \widehat{\varphi}_L$ for every $(\psi, M) \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $\mathrm{dom}(\psi) = L$; in particular: if φ is a homeomorphism (onto L), then so is $\widehat{\varphi}_L$,

(b) $\widehat{\varphi}_L(x) = \varphi(x)$ for $x \in \mathrm{dom}(\varphi)$,

(c) φ is an injection [surjection; embedding] if and only if so is $\widehat{\varphi}_L$,

(d) $\mathrm{im}(\widehat{\varphi}_L) \cap L = \mathrm{im}(\varphi)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{im}}(\widehat{\varphi}_L) \cap L = \overline{\mathrm{im}}(\varphi)$,

(e) $\mathrm{im}(\varphi)$ is closed [dense in L] iff $\mathrm{im}(\widehat{\varphi}_L)$ is closed [dense in Ω],

(f) $\overline{\mathrm{im}}(\widehat{\varphi}_L)$ is homeomorphic to Ω; and $\mathrm{im}(\varphi)$ is completely metrizable iff so is $\mathrm{im}(\widehat{\varphi}_L)$, iff $\mathrm{im}(\widehat{\varphi}_L)$ is homeomorphic to Ω,

(g) if $\overline{\mathrm{im}}(\varphi) \neq L$, then $\overline{\mathrm{im}}(\widehat{\varphi}_L)$ is a Z-set in Ω and if $\mathrm{im}(\varphi) \neq L$, then $\mathrm{im}(\widehat{\varphi}_L)$ is of type Z in Ω, i.e. the set $\mathcal{C}(Q, \Omega \setminus \mathrm{im}(\widehat{\varphi}_L))$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(Q, \Omega)$,

(h) if a sequence $(\varphi^{(n)}, L) \in \mathcal{Z}$ is such that $K := \mathrm{dom}(\varphi^{(n)})$ is independent of n, then the maps $\widehat{\varphi^{(n)}}_L$ converge to $\widehat{\varphi^{(0)}}_L$ pointwisely [resp. uniformly on compact sets] iff the $\varphi^{(n)}$'s converge so to $\varphi^{(0)}$

and

(i) for each compatible [complete] bounded metric[1] d on L there exists a compatible [complete] metric $\widehat{d}$ on Ω such that $\widehat{d}$ extends d, $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega, \widehat{d}) = \max(1, \mathrm{diam}(L, d))$ and the map

$$(\mathcal{C}(K, L), d_{\mathrm{sup}}) \ni \xi \mapsto \widehat{\xi}_L \in (\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \Omega), \widehat{d}_{\mathrm{sup}})$$

is isometric.

The conditions (a) and (b) state that the assignment $(\varphi, L) \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}_L$ is a functor (of the category of maps between Z-sets of Ω into the category of maps of Ω into itself) of continuous extension. The presented proofs are surprisingly easy and use simple ideas. However, the main tools of this paper are previously mentioned Anderson's result and the well-known theorem of Keller [7] (in case $\Omega \cong Q$) and the result of Bessaga and Pełczyński [3] on spaces of measurable functions (in case $\Omega \cong l^2$). What is more, the main proof is noncostructive and — in comparison to homeomorphism extension theorems — the extensor will not be continuous in the limitation topologies (see [10] for the definition) in case $\Omega = l^2$.

In the first section we present a general scheme of building functors of extension under certain conditions, which are fulfilled for categories of maps between Z-sets of Q and of l^2. In Sections 2 and 3 we use this scheme to build suitable functors for a space Ω homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube and to the separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, respectively.

Notation. In this paper $\mathbb{R}_+$ denotes $[0, +\infty)$ and Q stands for the Hilbert cube. For topological spaces X and Y, $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ denotes the set (with no singled out topology) of all continuous functions of X to Y. The collection of all compatible bounded metrics on a metrizable space X is denoted by $\mathrm{Metr}(X)$. For $d \in \mathrm{Metr}(Y)$ the induced sup-metric on $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is denoted by d_{sup}. The identity map on a set X is denoted by id_X.

---

[1] By a *compatible metric* defined on a metrizable space X we mean any metric on X which induces the given topology of the space X.

A subset A of a metric space X is *of type* Z in X iff the set $\mathcal{C}(Q, X\setminus A)$ is dense in the space $\mathcal{C}(Q, X)$ equipped with the topology of uniform convergence. A *Z-set* in X is a closed subset of X of type Z. The family of all Z-sets in X is denoted by $\mathscr{Z}(X)$.

1. General scheme

Suppose that Ω is a topological space and that for each space Ω' homeomorphic to Ω there is a singled out family $\mathcal{K}(\Omega')$ of subsets of Ω' such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

(AX1) if $\Omega_1 \cong \Omega_2 \cong \Omega$ and $h\colon \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ is a homeomorphism, then for each $A \subset X$, $A \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega_1)$ iff $h(A) \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega_2)$,

(AX2) if $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and $K_1 \cong K_2$, then $(\Omega, K_1) \cong (\Omega, K_2)$.

Both the above conditions imply the next:

(AX2') if $\Omega_j \cong \Omega$, $K_j \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega_j)$ $(j = 1, 2)$ and $K_1 \cong K_2$, then $(\Omega_1, K_1) \cong (\Omega_2, K_2)$.

Further assume that for any $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(K, L)$ we have defined a topological space $\Lambda(K)$ and a function $\Lambda(f) \in \mathcal{C}(\Lambda(K), \Lambda(L))$, as well as an embedding $\delta_K\colon K \to \Lambda(K)$, so that:

(Λ1) (functor attributes) $\Lambda(\mathrm{id}_K) = \mathrm{id}_{\Lambda(K)}$ and $\Lambda(g \circ f) = \Lambda(g) \circ \Lambda(f)$ whenever the compositions make sense,

(Λ2) for each $K \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$, $\Lambda(K) \cong \Omega$ and $\mathrm{im}(\delta_K) \in \mathcal{K}(\Lambda(K))$,

(Λ3) for any $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(K, L)$, $\Lambda(f) \circ \delta_K = \delta_L \circ f$.

Under all the above assumptions we shall build a special functor of extension maps between members of $\mathcal{K}(\Omega)$.

Firstly, using (Λ2) and (AX2'), for every $K \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ take a homeomorphism H_K of $(\Lambda(K), \mathrm{im}(\delta_K))$ onto (Ω, K). Secondly, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(K, L)$ (with $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$) define an auxiliary map $\bar{\varphi}_L := \delta_L^{-1} \circ H_L^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ H_K \circ \delta_K \in \mathcal{C}(K, L)$. Finally put $\widehat{\varphi}_L = H_L \circ \Lambda(\bar{\varphi}_L) \circ H_K^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \Omega)$. By a straightforward calculation one checks that the assignment $(\varphi, L) \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}_L$ has functor attributes as in (Λ1) and that $\widehat{\varphi}_L$ extends φ.

Finally, assume also that Ω is metrizable and that for each $K \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ we have defined an assignment $\mathrm{Metr}(K) \ni d \mapsto \Lambda(d) \in \mathrm{Metr}(\Lambda(K))$ so that

(Λ4) $d = \Lambda(d) \circ (\delta_K \times \delta_K)$ for $K \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$,

(Λ5) for each $K, L \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and $\varrho \in \mathrm{Metr}(L)$, the map

$$(\mathcal{C}(K, L), \varrho_{\sup}) \ni \psi \mapsto \Lambda(\psi) \in (\mathcal{C}(\Lambda(K), \Lambda(L)), \Lambda(\varrho)_{\sup})$$

is isometric,

then we may extend also bounded metrics. Indeed, for $L \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ and $d \in \mathrm{Metr}(L)$, put $\bar{d} = d \circ [(H_L \circ \delta_L) \times (H_L \circ \delta_L)] \in \mathrm{Metr}(L)$ and let $\widehat{d} = \Lambda(\bar{d}) \circ [H_L^{-1} \times H_L^{-1}]$. One checks that $\widehat{d} \in \mathrm{Metr}(\Omega)$, that $\widehat{d}$ extends

d and the map $(\mathcal{C}(K,L),d_{\sup}) \ni \varphi \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}_L \in (\mathcal{C}(\Omega,\Omega),\widehat{d}_{\sup})$ is isometric for each $K \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$.

The simplicity of the formulas for $\bar{\varphi}_L$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_L$ has deep consequences: each property (such as pointwise convergence, injectivity, density or closedness of ranges, etc.) which is preserved by the functor Λ and every map of the form $f \mapsto h \circ f \circ h^{-1}$ (with h being a homeomorphism) is preserved also by the final assignment $(\varphi, L) \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}_L$. Now if Ω denotes Q or l^2 and $\mathcal{K}(\Omega') = \mathcal{Z}(\Omega')$ for $\Omega' \cong \Omega$, then, by Anderson's theorem, the axioms (AX1) and (AX2) are fulfilled. Therefore it is enough — for our investigations — to build the functor Λ with suitable properties. This will be done in two steps. The first step is common for both the cases and is described below, while the second steps totally differ for $\Omega \cong Q$ and $\Omega \cong l^2$. We shall finish the constructions in the next two sections.

Given a space Ω (homeomorphic to Q or l^2), fix a homeomorphic copy Ω_0 of Ω with a complete metric $d_0 \in \operatorname{Metr}(\Omega_0)$ such that $\Omega \cap \Omega_0 = \varnothing$ and $\operatorname{diam}(\Omega_0, d_0) = 1$. Now for any $K, L \in \mathcal{Z}(\Omega)$, $d \in \operatorname{Metr}(L)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}(K,L)$ let $\mathcal{I}(K) = K \cup \Omega_0$ and $\mathcal{I}(d) \in \operatorname{Metr}(\mathcal{I}(L))$ and $\mathcal{I}(f) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{I}(K),\mathcal{I}(L))$ be as follows: $\mathcal{I}(d)$ coincides with d on $K \times K$, with d_0 on $\Omega_0 \times \Omega_0$ and $\mathcal{I}(d)(x,y) = \max(\operatorname{diam}(K,d),1)$ if one of x and y belongs to K and the other to Ω_0; $\mathcal{I}(f)(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in K$ and $\mathcal{I}(f)(x) = x$ for $x \in \Omega_0$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{I}$ is a functor (of the category of maps between Z-sets of Ω into the category of maps between closed subsets of $\Omega \cup \Omega_0$) which has all properties (a)–(e), (h) and (i). The second step is to build a functor $\mathcal{M}$ such that the conditions (a)–(i) and (Λ1)–(Λ5) are satisfied for $\Lambda := \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{I}$.

2. HILBERT CUBE

Throughout this section we assume that $\Omega \cong Q$. In that case, the main tool to build the functor $\mathcal{M}$ will be the well-known theorem of Keller [7] (the proof may also be found in [5, Chapter III, §3]):

2.1. **Theorem.** *Every compact, metrizable, infinite-dimensional convex subset of a locally convex space is homeomorphic to Q.*

Recall that the space $\mathcal{I}(K)$ for each closed $K \subset \Omega$ is infinite and compact. Now for a nonempty compact metrizable space C, let $\mathcal{M}(C)$ be the set of all probabilistic Borel measures on C equipped with the standard weak topology (inherited, thanks to the Riesz characterization theorem, from the weak-* topology of the dual Banach space of $\mathcal{C}(C,\mathbb{R})$), i.e. the topology with the basis consisting of finite intersections of sets of the form:

$$B(\mu; f, \varepsilon) = \Big\{\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(C)\colon\ \Big|\int_C f \,\mathrm{d}\mu - \int_C f \,\mathrm{d}\lambda\Big| < \varepsilon\Big\},$$

where $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(C)$, $f \in \mathcal{C}(C,\mathbb{R})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The space $\mathcal{M}(C)$ is compact, convex and metrizable. What is more, $\mathcal{M}(C)$ is infinite-dimensional iff

C is infinite and hence — by Theorem 2.1 — $\mathcal{M}(C) \cong \Omega$ for infinite space C, which yields the first claim of (Λ2).

For $a \in C$, let $\delta_a \in \mathcal{M}(C)$ denote the Dirac's measure at a, i.e. the probabilistic measure such that $\delta_a(\{a\}) = 1$. It is clear that the map

$$\delta_C \colon C \ni a \mapsto \delta_a \in \mathcal{M}(C) \tag{2-1}$$

is an embedding. What is more, its image is a Z-set in $\mathcal{M}(C)$ provided C is infinite, which gives the remainder of (Λ2).

For a metric $d \in \mathrm{Metr}(C)$ let $\mathcal{M}(d) \colon \mathcal{M}(C) \times \mathcal{M}(C) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(d)(\mu, \nu) = \sup\Big\{\Big|\int_C f \,\mathrm{d}\mu - \int_C f \,\mathrm{d}\nu\Big| \colon\ f \in \mathrm{Contr}(C, \mathbb{R})\Big\},$$

where $\mathrm{Contr}(C, \mathbb{R})$ stands for the family of all d-nonexpansive maps of C into $\mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathcal{M}(d) \in \mathrm{Metr}(\mathcal{M}(C))$ and $\mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{M}(C), \mathcal{M}(d)) = \mathrm{diam}(C, d)$ ($\mathcal{M}(d)$ is sometimes called the *Kantorovich* metric induced by d). Observe that $\mathcal{M}(d)(\delta_a, \delta_b) = d(a, b)$ for $a, b \in C$, which corresponds to (Λ4).

Now let C and D be two nonempty compact metrizable spaces. For a map $\varphi \colon C \to D$, let $\mathcal{M}(\varphi) \colon \mathcal{M}(C) \to \mathcal{M}(D)$ be given by the formula $(\mathcal{M}(\varphi)(\mu))(B) = \mu(\varphi^{-1}(B))$ ($\mu \in \mathcal{M}(C)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(D)$). Thus $\mathcal{M}(\varphi)(\mu)$ is the transport of the measure μ by the map φ. Observe that if $\lambda = \mathcal{M}(\varphi)(\mu)$, then for each $g \in \mathcal{C}(D, \mathbb{R})$, $\int_D g \,\mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_C g \circ \varphi \,\mathrm{d}\mu$. This implies that $\mathcal{M}(\varphi) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}(C), \mathcal{M}(D))$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(\varphi)$ is affine (so its image is a compact convex set) and $\mathcal{M}(\varphi) \circ \delta_C = \delta_D \circ \varphi$, which corresponds to ($\Lambda$3) (and finally leads to (b)). It is easy to check that $\mathcal{M}$ is a functor (cf. (Λ1) and (a)) acting in the category of maps between compact metrizable spaces which preserves the pointwise convergence (cf. (h)) and injectivity (cf. (c)). What is more, $\mathrm{im}(\mathcal{M}(\varphi)) = \{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(D) \colon\ \mu(\mathrm{im}(\varphi)) = 1\}$ (which can be deduced e.g. by the Kreĭn-Milman theorem), from which one obtains (d), (e), (f) and (g).

Having this, one checks that the functor $\Lambda = \mathcal{M} \circ \mathcal{I}$ satisfies all needed properties. For example, we shall check that the map

$$(\mathcal{C}(K, L), d_{\sup}) \ni \varphi \mapsto \mathcal{M}(\varphi) \in (\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}(K), \mathcal{M}(L)), \mathcal{M}(d)_{\sup})$$

is isometric for every $d \in \mathrm{Metr}(L)$ (which leads to (Λ5) and (i)). For $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{C}(K, L)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(K)$, put $\mu_\varphi = \mathcal{M}(\varphi)(\mu)$ and $\mu_\psi =$

$\mathcal{M}(\psi)(\mu)$. Note that

$$\mathcal{M}(d)(\mathcal{M}(\varphi)(\mu),\mathcal{M}(\psi)(\mu)) = \sup_{f\in\mathrm{Contr}(L,\mathbb{R})}\Big|\int_L f\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi - \int_L f\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\psi\Big|$$

$$= \sup_{f\in\mathrm{Contr}(L,\mathbb{R})}\Big|\int_K f\circ\varphi\,\mathrm{d}\mu - \int_K f\circ\psi\,\mathrm{d}\mu\Big| \leqslant \sup_{f\in\mathrm{Contr}(L,\mathbb{R})}\int_K |f\circ\varphi - f\circ\psi|\,\mathrm{d}\mu$$

$$\leqslant \int_K d(\varphi(x),\psi(x))\,\mathrm{d}\mu(x) \leqslant d_{\sup}(\varphi,\psi).$$

This gives $\mathcal{M}(d)_{\sup}(\mathcal{M}(\varphi),\mathcal{M}(\psi)) \leqslant d_{\sup}(\varphi,\psi)$. The inverse inequality is immediate. The details in verification of all other conditions are left as an exercise for the reader.

The existence of the suitable assignment $(\varphi, L) \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}_L$ has the following consequence:

2.2. **Corollary.** *Let K be a Z-set in Q. Let* $\mathrm{Auth}(Q,K) = \{h \in \mathrm{Homeo}(Q)\colon\ h(K) = K\}$ *and*

$$\mathrm{Auth}_0(Q,K) = \{h \in \mathrm{Auth}(Q,K)\colon\ h(x) = x \text{ for } x \in K\}$$

be spaces equipped with the topology of uniform convergence. Then there is a closed subgroup $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathrm{Auth}(Q,K)$ *such that the map*

$$\Phi\colon\ \mathrm{Auth}_0(Q,K)\times\mathcal{G} \ni (u,v) \mapsto u\circ v \in \mathrm{Auth}(Q,K)$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. It is enough to put $\mathcal{G} = \{\widehat{h}_K\colon\ h \in \mathrm{Homeo}(K)\}$. Since the map $\Psi\colon\ \mathrm{Homeo}(K) \ni h \mapsto \widehat{h}_K \in \mathrm{Homeo}(Q)$ is an embedding (and a group homomorphism) and $\mathrm{Homeo}(K)$ is completely metrizable, therefore $\mathcal{G}$ is closed. Now it remains to notice that

$$\Phi^{-1}(h) = (h\circ\Psi(h\big|_K)^{-1}, \Psi(h\big|_K)).$$

□

3. Hilbert space

In this section we assume that $\Omega \cong l^2$. Instead of Keller's theorem, which was used in the previous part, here we need the theorem of Bessaga and Pełczyński [3]. In order to state it, we have to describe *spaces of measurable functions.*

Let X be a separable nonempty metrizable space and let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ be the space of all equivalence classes of Lebesgue measurable functions of $[0,1]$ into X with respect to the relation of being equal Lebesgue almost evrywhere on $[0,1]$.

For $d \in \mathrm{Metr}(X)$ the function $\mathcal{M}(d)\colon \mathcal{M}(X)\times\mathcal{M}(X) \ni (f,g) \mapsto \int_0^1 d(f(t),g(t))\,\mathrm{d}t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is a bounded metric (in fact, $\mathrm{diam}(X,d) = \mathrm{diam}(\mathcal{M}(X),\mathcal{M}(d))$) on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ which is complete iff d is. The topology it induces on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is independent of d, and a sequence (f_n) converges to f in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ iff it converges in measure in the sense of Halmos [6,

§22], or equivalently, if every subsequence of (f_n) has a subsequence converging to f pointwisely a.e. For us the most important property of $\mathcal{M}(X)$, from which the first claim of $(\Lambda 2)$ is deduced, is the previously mentioned theorem of Bessaga and Pełczyński (see also [5, Theorem VI.7.1]):

3.1. **Theorem.** *If X is a metrizable space, then the space $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is homeomorphic to l^2 iff X is separable, completely metrizable and has more than one point.*

Fix for a moment a separable metrizable space X. For $x \in X$ let $\delta_x \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ be the constant function with the only value x. Put $\delta_X\colon X \ni x \mapsto \delta_x \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. Clearly, δ_X is a closed embedding. What is more, if $\operatorname{card} X \geqslant 2$, the image of δ_X is a Z-set in X, which yields the remainder of $(\Lambda 2)$. As in Section 2, observe that $\mathcal{M}(d)(\delta_x, \delta_y) = d(x, y)$ for each $x, y \in X$ and $d \in \operatorname{Metr}(X)$ (which corresponds to $(\Lambda 4)$).

If A is a subset of X, $\mathcal{M}(A)$ naturally embeds in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ and therefore we shall consider $\mathcal{M}(A)$ as a subset of $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Under such an agreement one has $\overline{\mathcal{M}(A)} = \mathcal{M}(\bar{A})$. Note also that if $A \neq X$, then $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is of type Z in $\mathcal{M}(X)$, which will give (g) (see Theorem 3.3 below). Indeed, if $a \in X \setminus A$, then the sequence $\Phi_n\colon \mathcal{M}(X) \ni f \mapsto \delta_a\big|_{[0,\frac{1}{n})} \cup f\big|_{[\frac{1}{n},1]} \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathcal{M}(X)$ to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}(X)}$ and the images of the maps Φ_n $(n \geqslant 1)$ are disjoint from $\mathcal{M}(A)$, which implies that $\mathcal{M}(A)$ is of type Z.

Now let Y be another separable metrizable space and $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. We define $\mathcal{M}(f)\colon \mathcal{M}(X) \to \mathcal{M}(Y)$ by the formula $(\mathcal{M}(f))(u) = f \circ u$. It is clear that $\mathcal{M}(f) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}(X), \mathcal{M}(Y))$, that $\mathcal{M}(f) \circ \delta_X = \delta_Y \circ f$ (which corresponds to $(\Lambda 3)$ and finally leads to (b)) and that $\mathcal{M}$ is a functor (cf. $(\Lambda 1)$ and (a)) acting in the category of maps between separable metrizable spaces. Our next step is to prove that $\operatorname{im}(\mathcal{M}(f)) = \mathcal{M}(\operatorname{im}(f))$ (which asserts that conditions (d), (e), (f) and (g) are fulfilled). It is however not as simple as it looks. To show this, we shall apply two theorems of the descriptive set theory and we have to introduce the terminology.

A *Souslin space* is the empty topological space or a metrizable space which is a continuous image of the space $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. We shall need the following three properties of Souslin spaces (for the proofs and more information see e.g. [8, Chapter XIII]):

(S1) the continuous image of a Borel subset of a separable completely metrizable space is a Souslin space ([8]: Theorem XIII.1.6 combined with the property (1) on page 434),

(S2) the inverse image of a Souslin space under a Borel function (between Borel subsets of separable completely metrizable spaces) is Souslin as well ([8, Theorem XIII.4.5]),

(S3) every Souslin subset A of the interval $[0, 1]$ is Lebesgue measurable ([8, Theorem XIII.4.1]).

The main tool ([8, Theorem XIV.1.1]) used in the next result will be

3.2. **Theorem.** *Let $Y \neq \varnothing$ be a separable completely metrizable space; let $X \neq \varnothing$ be any set and let $\mathcal{R}$ be a σ-algebra of subsets of X. If a function $F\colon X \to 2^Y$ satisfies the following two conditions*

(i) *$F(x)$ is a nonempty and closed subset of Y for any $x \in X$,*
(ii) *$\{x \in X\colon\ F(x) \cap U \neq \varnothing\} \in \mathcal{R}$ for any open subset U of the space Y,*

then there exists a function $f\colon X \to Y$ such that $f(x) \in F(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and f is $\mathcal{R}$-measurable, that is, $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all open sets $U \subset Y$.

Now we are ready to prove the following

3.3. **Theorem.** *If X and Y are two separable metrizable spaces and $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$, then $\operatorname{im}(\mathcal{M}(f)) = \mathcal{M}(\operatorname{im}(f))$, provided X is completely metrizable.*

Proof. The inclusion "$\subset$" easily follows from the relation

$$\operatorname{im}(\mathcal{M}(f)(u)) \subset \operatorname{im}(f).$$

To prove the inverse one, take a Borel function $v\colon [0,1] \to Y$ such that $v([0,1]) \subset \operatorname{im}(f)$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the σ-algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of $[0,1]$. Define $F\colon [0,1] \to 2^X$ by the formula $F(t) = f^{-1}(\{v(t)\})$. Clearly, $F(t)$ is nonempty and closed in X for any $t \in [0,1]$. What is more, if U is an open subset of X, then, by (S1), $f(U)$ is a Souslin space and hence, by (S2), so is the set $v^{-1}(f(U))$ and therefore it is Lebesgue measurable (by (S3)). But $v^{-1}(f(U)) = \{t \in [0,1]\colon F(t) \cap U \neq \varnothing\}$, so $\{t \in [0,1]\colon F(t) \cap U \neq \varnothing\} \in \mathcal{L}$. Now Theorem 3.2 gives us a Lebesgue measurable function $u\colon [0,1] \to X$ such that $u(t) \in F(t)$ for any $t \in [0,1]$. This means that $u \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and $(\mathcal{M}(f))(u) = v$. □

Now in the same way as in Section 2 we define the functor Λ. To convince the reader that all conditions (a)–(i) are fulfilled, we shall show that $\mathcal{M}$ preserves the uniform convergence on compact subsets (which is a part of (h); other details and verification of (c) and (i) are left as an exercise). Assume that $(f_n)_n \subset \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ tends uniformly on compact subsets of X to $f \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. Let $(u_n)_n$ be a sequence of elements of $M(X)$ which is convergent to $u \in M(X)$. We have to prove that $(M(f_n)(u_n))_n$ converges to $M(f)(u)$. For an arbitrary subsequence of $(u_n)_n$ take a subsequence $(u_{\nu_n})_n$ of it such that the set $T = \{t \in [0,1]\colon \lim_{n\to\infty} u_{\nu_n}(t) = u(t)\}$ has the Lebesgue measure equal to 1. Observe that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_{\nu_n}(u_{\nu_n}(t)) = f(u(t))$ for $t \in T$. This means that $(M(f_{\nu_n})(u_{\nu_n}))_n$ is pointwisely convergent to $M(f)(u)$ a.e. and finally $M(f_n)(u_n)$ tends to $M(f)(u)$ in the topology of $M(Y)$.

We end the paper with a note that we do not know if there exists an analogous functor of extension of mappings between Z-sets of l^2 which is continuous in the limitation topologies.

References

[1] R.D. Anderson, *On topological infinite deficiency*, Mich. Math. J. **14** (1967), 365–383.
[2] R.D. Anderson and J. McCharen, *On extending homeomorphisms to Fréchet manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970), 283–289.
[3] Cz. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *On spaces of measurable functions*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 597–615.
[4] T.A. Chapman, *Deficiency in infinite-dimensional manifolds*, General Topol. Appl. **1** (1971), 263–272.
[5] Cz. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*, PWN – Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1975.
[6] P.R. Halmos, *Measure theory*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
[7] O.H. Keller, *Die Homoiomorphie der kompakten konvexen Mengen in Hilbertschen Raum*, Math. Ann. **105** (1931), 748–758.
[8] K. Kuratowski and A. Mostowski, *Set Theory with an Introduction to Descriptive Set Theory*, PWN – Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1976.
[9] H. Toruńczyk, *Remarks on Anderson's paper "On topological infinite deficiency"*, Fund. Math. **66** (1970), 393–401.
[10] H. Toruńczyk, *Characterizing Hilbert space topology*, Fund. Math. **111** (1981), 247–262.
[11] H. Toruńczyk, *A correction of two papers concerning Hilbert manifolds*, Fund. Math. **125** (1985), 89–93.

Piotr Niemiec, Jagiellonian University, Institute of Mathematics, ul. Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland
E-mail address: `piotr.niemiec@uj.edu.pl`